# Supplemental materials for:

Kerse N, Mclean C, Moyes SA, et al. The cluster-randomized BRIGHT Trial: proactive case finding for community-dwelling older adults. *Ann Fam Med.* 2014;12(6):514-524.

## **BRIGHT SCREEN**

## (Brief Risk Identification for Geriatric Health Tool)

Please circle your response to the questions below and return the completed questionnaire in the envelope provided.

Thinking of how you have been in the last 3 months

Yes	No
Yes	No
	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

Thank you for filling it in.

### Appendix 2

The population in the current trial was potentially the 'better' half of community dwellers. The admission rate of just 6-8% to residential care over 3 years is low and the hospitalisation rate of 8-9% for this age group suggests that the population was perhaps at higher health status than the total NZ population aged 75+. A subgroup, preselected with greater levels of disability, did not respond in any different way to this intervention, suggesting that other strategies are needed to improve outcomes for older people.

The BRIGHT tool was developed in NZ, and validated<sup>1</sup> against the MaPLE score, a measure of likelihood of development of disability, of the InterRAI comprehensive assessment tool, an assessment process covering health, social, functional and financial factors, developed to assist in comprehensive geriatric assessment. It is as valid as other screening tools to detect those with potential for functional decline, however all tools have inaccuracy and it is possible that the tool was not accurate in the current population. It is more likely that the tool did identify people at risk of decline, but the response was not adequate to change the trajectory and the result was an identification of issues that required residential care placement. We screen out the majority of those in the intervention group potentially reassuring the practice of those not needing attention; this is what a screening tool is for. A large number of false positives would be expected and 30% of all intervention participants were receiving home help before the intervention began. Potentially a better targeted second step placed within primary care<sup>2</sup> may have enabled appropriate services to be better directed.

#### References

- 1. Kerse N, Boyd M, McLean C, Koziol-McLain J, Robb G. The BRIGHT tool. Age Ageing. 2008;37(5):553-588.
- 2. Walters K, Kharicha K, Iliffe PS, et al. Promoting health and wellbeing for older people in primary care: a feasibility study. Lancet. 2013;382(S3):S99.