

A LEARNING COMMUNITY OF READERS, REVIEWERS, AND AUTHORS

When readers are engaged in applying and reflecting, when reviewers help to assure the publication of high quality research and reflections, and when authors consider their audiences and effectively convey both internally valid work and relevant contextual factors, a learning forum is created that is more than the sum of its parts. We are grateful for the chance to participate in this learning community, and appreciate the commitment of the *Annals'* sponsoring organizations to create space for a transdisciplinary, international, interactive forum for advancing new knowledge to understand and improve health and primary health care.

To read or post commentaries in response to this article, see it online at <http://www.annfammed.org/content/12/4/299>.

References

1. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Patient-centered Medical Home Resource Center. Contextual factors: the importance of considering and reporting on context in the patient-centered medical home. <http://pcmh.ahrq.gov/page/contextual-factors-importance-considering-and-reporting-context-research-patient-centered>.
2. *Transforming Primary Care*. [supplement]. *Ann Fam Med*. 2013(Suppl 1):S1-S123.
3. Tomoaia-Cotisel A, Scammon DL, Waitzman NJ, et al. Context matters: the experience of 14 research teams in systematically reporting contextual factors important for practice change. *Ann Fam Med*. 2013;11(Suppl 1):S115-S123.

CORRECTIONS

Ann Fam Med 2014;301. doi: 10.1370/afm.1674

Elwyn G, Dehlendorf C, Epstein RM, et al. Shared decision making and motivational interviewing: achieving patient-centered care across the spectrum of health care problems. *Ann Fam Med*. 2014;12(3):270-275.

In the print version of the May/June (v. 12, no. 3) table of contents, the article by Elwyn et al was listed as Original Research when it actually was a Reflections article. It is correct in the online version of *Annals*. The print version therefore departs from the online version.

Sox HC. Do clinical guidelines still make sense? Yes. *Ann Fam Med*. 2014;12(3):200-201.

Upshur RE. Do clinical guidelines still make sense? No. *Ann Fam Med*. 2014;12(3):202-203.

In the print version of the May/June (v. 12, no. 3) table of contents, the articles by Sox and Upshur were incorrectly listed in the Editorials section and should have been listed as Point/Counterpoint articles. It is correct in the online version of the *Annals*. The print version therefore departs from the online version.

Additionally, in the print table of contents of the May/June (v. 12, no. 3) issue the author's surname Sox was spelled incorrectly as Cox. It is correct in the *Annals* online table of contents. The print version therefore departs from the online version.