

other systems and institutions that have successfully partnered with local community and public health organizations. ADFM's HCDDT Committee updates this menu of resources periodically; the color version of the graphic with the menu of resources hyperlinked for easy access is available at: <http://www.adfm.org/Members/PrimaryCareCommunicationToolkit>.

The complexity of healthcare system change leads us to be like the proverbial "blind man and the elephant" in that we sometimes can identify the part we are dealing with, but are not as successful in recognizing the larger "beast." The graphic is designed to help with this challenge. Chairs, Administrators, Division Chiefs and other senior leaders in Departments of Family Medicine are best positioned to understand the local politics and to guide Departments in selecting entry points that will likely have the greatest impact and intended outcome.

We will continue to evolve this graphic through our work over the year to help Departments of Family Medicine and other organizations understand how they can partner and envision a different future within their own local reality.

Sharon Hull, MD, MPH; Amanda Weidner, MPH; James Lloyd Michener, MD; and the ADFM Healthcare Delivery Transformation Committee: Al Tallia, MD, MPH (Committee Chair); Chelley Alexander, MD; Sean Bryan, MD; Valerie Gilchrist, MD; Laurel Giobbie, MPH; Sharon Hull, MD, MPH; Michael Jeremiah, MD; J. Lloyd Michener, MD; Robert Pally, MD; Michael Rabovsky, MD; Linda Speer, MD; Lisa Tavallali, MBA; staff: Ardis Davis, MSW and Amanda Weidner, MPH

References

1. World Health Organization. WHO global strategy on people-centered and integrated health services: Interim Report. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/155002/1/WHO_HIS_SDS_2015.6_eng.pdf?ua=1&ua=. Jun 2015. Accessed Sep 25, 2015.



Ann Fam Med 2015;13:595-596. doi: 10.1370/afm.1874.

THE NATIONAL GRADUATE SURVEY FOR FAMILY MEDICINE

The Association of Family Medicine Residency Directors (AFMRD) is excited to announce the rollout of a standardized national graduate survey. Beginning in

2016, the survey will be conducted through the American Board of Family Medicine (ABFM) Maintenance of Certification process. Understanding the scope of practice and success of family medicine residency graduates post-residency is a crucial step in improving residency education. The Accreditation Council of Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) Program Requirements for Graduate Medical Education in Family Medicine state that "Program graduates should be surveyed at least every 5 years, and the results should be used in the annual program evaluation" (V.C.6, Detail Requirement). Although some residencies collaborated on standardized surveys and data collection previously, no standardized national initiative was in place.

Recognizing an opportunity to both standardize the survey process and increase the response rate, the AFMRD approached the ABFM to explore opportunities to use ABFM-collected data to fulfill the graduate survey requirement. In turn, the ABFM offered to sponsor the development of a graduate survey to be administered through the Maintenance of Certification process, thus assuring a high response rate.

In cooperation with the ABFM, the AFMRD led a steering committee of representatives from AFMRD, ABFM, family medicine research organizations, the ACGME, and a new graduate. The steering committee identified the major stakeholders of a national graduate survey to be, in order of priority: (1) residencies for use in program evaluation and improvement and for milestone validation; (2) family medicine organizations regarding family medicine practice scope and characteristics, as well as the quality of and trends in medical education; and (3) the "public" for use in educational research and policy analysis. The steering committee recommended and then conducted a competitive request for proposal (RFP) process to select a survey development team, ultimately choosing a team from the University of Washington, headed by Dr Freddy Chen.

The survey development team completed a needs assessment and a literature search, reviewed previously developed graduate surveys, and conducted phone interviews of key stakeholders and recent graduates and roundtable discussions with program directors. In order to achieve a high survey completion rate, the goal was to limit the survey to questions that can be completed in fewer than 12 minutes. Two rounds of pilot testing have included input from stakeholders, including significant AFMRD input, to identify the most important questions to be included in the survey.

The survey is now being rolled out by the ABFM to all ABFM Diplomates 3 years after graduation. The ABFM will incorporate the survey into its Maintenance of Certification process, providing information to residency programs each year on the survey cohort.

This meets the ACGME program requirement and provides valuable information to each program for continuous quality improvement of residency education. The ABFM will provide each program with its own confidential survey results, with individual responses de-identified. Individual program data will not be available to the ACGME for individual program accreditation. Broader graduate survey data will be available in aggregate form only, to assure the anonymity of information that may be sensitive for individual programs. A data set for research purposes will be available in de-identified form through the ABFM upon request. The ABFM and AFMRD are committed to the protection of individual data, while providing only aggregate data for national analysis.

The steering committee recommended using a 3-year post-graduation timeframe. Graduate scope of practice and success information is likely most useful to programs at that time, being neither too soon nor too long from the time of graduation. While the initial survey will include only residents 3 years after graduation, the ABFM intends to expand the survey so that each ABFM Diplomate completes a survey every 5 years, providing more robust information to programs.

Starting in 2016, the AFMRD plans to create an advisory group to work with the ABFM to annually monitor the performance of the survey, the usefulness of the data for residencies, and to determine if any changes are needed in the questions. The AFMRD urges all program directors to inform their residents and graduates of the importance of the national graduate survey and to encourage their participation; additionally the AFMRD encourages program directors to incorporate the results into their annual program evaluation.

Karen B. Mitchell, MD; Lisa Maxwell MD; Tom Miller, MD



**From the North
American Primary Care
Research Group**

Ann Fam Med 2015;13:596-597. doi: 10.1370/afm.1875.

NAPCRG ANNUAL MEETING DISTINGUISHED PAPERS

NAPCRG's Annual Meeting is a forum for primary care researchers from across the globe to gather and present their research, collaborate for new research, and foster growth for up-and-coming researchers. The 2015 Annual Meeting was held in Cancun, Mexico on October 24-28, 2015.

Five papers were selected and given the special designation of "distinguished paper" for excellence in

research based on the following factors: overall excellence, quality of research methods, quality of the writing, relevance to primary care clinical research, and overall impact of the research on primary care and/or clinical practice.

Below is a brief summary of this year's distinguished papers; complete abstracts are available on the NAP-CRG website.

Physical exercise for late life depression: tailored treatments between psychiatry and primary care.

Klea Bertakis, MD, MPH; Mario Amore; Fabrizio Asiola; Luigi Bagnoli; Marco Menchetti; Martino Murri; Micro Neri; Francesca Neviani; Matteo Siena; Giulio Toni; Ferdinando Tripi; Stamatula Zanetidou; Donato Zocchi

Late Life Major Depression (LLMD) is usually treated within Primary Care (PC), but is still associated with unsatisfactory outcomes. While antidepressant drugs have limited efficacy against LLMD, Physical Exercise (PE) has proven to be an effective adjunct intervention. However, there is limited knowledge on which factors might influence the translation of PE-based interventions in clinical practice. The objective of this study was to examine 121 patients aged 65 years plus, with non-psychotic LLMD for characteristics that might moderate remission from depression treated with PE. A secondary aim was surveyed PCPs regarding their opinions on the efficacy of PE in the treatment of LLMD.

Impact of the first year of affordable care act insurance expansions on community health center encounters.

Megan Hoopes, MPH; Heather Angier, MPH; Rachel Gold, PhD, MPH; Nathalie Huguet, PhD; Christine Nelson, PhD, RN; Miguel Marino, PhD; Brigit Hatch, MD, MPH; Aleksandra Sumic; Jennifer DeVoe, MD, DPhil

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) incentivized states to expand Medicaid coverage to adults with incomes $\leq 138\%$ of the federal poverty level (FPL); to date, 27 states implemented this expansion. Concurrently, private insurance availability increased through exchange marketplaces. This quasi-experimental study using electronic health record (EHR) data from community health centers (CHCs) sharing a single EHR, 1-year pre-expansion (2013) through 1-year post-expansion (2014), compares the ACA's impact on CHCs in Medicaid expansion and non-expansion states.

Missed opportunities for stroke and transient ischemic attack (TIA) in primary care

Grace Moran; Melanie Calvert; Max Feltham; Tom Marshall; Ronan Ryan

Stroke is one of the leading causes of death and disability globally; approximately 16.9 million first-strokes occur each year. Primary prevention through targeting modifiable risk factors is important to reduce the burden of stroke. However, evidence suggests that primary stroke prevention is sub-optimal in