

6. Oakeshott P, Kerry S, Aghaizu A, et al. Randomised controlled trial of screening for Chlamydia trachomatis to prevent pelvic inflammatory disease: the POPI (prevention of pelvic infection) trial. *BMJ*. 2010;340:c1642. [10.1136/bmj.c1642](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c1642)
7. Kapadia F. Reproductive justice matters: a public health of consequence, August 2022. *Am J Public Health*. 2022;112(8):1107-1109. [10.2105/AJPH.2022.306959](https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2022.306959)
8. Workowski KA, Bachmann LH, Chan PA, et al; US Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Prevention and Control. Sexually transmitted infections treatment guidelines, 2021. *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2021; 70(4):1-187. [10.15585/mmwr.rr7004a1](https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.rr7004a1)
9. US Preventive Services Task Force. Final recommendation statement: chlamydia and gonorrhea: screening. Published 2014. Accessed Mar 24, 2021. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/chlamydia-and-gonorrhea-screening>
10. Committee on Adolescence; Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine. Screening for nonviral sexually transmitted infections in adolescents and young adults. *Pediatrics*. 2014;134(1):e302-e311. [10.1542/peds.2014-1024](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-1024)
11. US Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Increase the proportion of sexually active female adolescents and young women who get screened for chlamydia — STI-01. Healthy People 2030. <https://health.gov/healthypeople/objectives-and-data/browse-objectives/sexually-transmitted-infections/increase-proportion-sexually-active-female-adolescents-and-young-women-who-get-screened-chlamydia-sti-01>
12. Wiehe SE, Rosenman MB, Wang J, Katz BP, Fortenberry JD. Chlamydia screening among young women: individual- and provider-level differences in testing. *Pediatrics*. 2011;127(2):e336-e344. [10.1542/peds.2010-0967](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2010-0967)
13. Wood S, Min J, Tam V, et al. Inequities in Chlamydia trachomatis screening between Black and White adolescents in a large pediatric primary care network, 2015-2019. *Am J Public Health*. 2022;112(1):135-143. [10.2105/AJPH.2021.306498](https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2021.306498)
14. Wood SM, McGeary A, Wilson M, et al. Effectiveness of a quality improvement intervention to improve rates of routine Chlamydia trachomatis screening in female adolescents seeking primary preventive care. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2019;32(1):32-38. [10.1016/j.jpag.2018.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpag.2018.10.004)
15. Hoebe CJ, Rademaker CW, Brouwers EE, ter Waarbeek HL, van Bergen JE. Acceptability of self-taken vaginal swabs and first-catch urine samples for the diagnosis of urogenital Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae with an amplified DNA assay in young women attending a public health sexually transmitted disease clinic. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2006;33(8):491-495. [10.1097/01.olq.00000204619.87066.28](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.olq.00000204619.87066.28)
16. Holland-Hall CM, Wiesenfeld HC, Murray PJ. Self-collected vaginal swabs for the detection of multiple sexually transmitted infections in adolescent girls. *J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol*. 2002;15(5):307-313. [10.1016/s1083-3188\(02\)00197-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1083-3188(02)00197-3)
17. Sutton MY, Lasswell SM, Lanier Y, Miller KS. Impact of parent-child communication interventions on sex behaviors and cognitive outcomes for Black/African-American and Hispanic/Latino youth: a systematic review, 1988-2012. *J Adolesc Health*. 2014;54(4):369-384. [10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.11.004)
18. DiVasta AD, Trudell EK, Francis M, et al. Practice-based quality improvement collaborative to increase chlamydia screening in young women. *Pediatrics*. 2016;137(5):e20151082. [10.1542/peds.2015-1082](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2015-1082)
19. Owusu-Edusei K Jr, Hoover KW, Gift TL. Cost-effectiveness of opt-out chlamydia testing for high-risk young women in the US. *Am J Prev Med*. 2016; 51(2):216-224. [10.1016/j.amepre.2016.01.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2016.01.007)
20. Gaydos CA, Van Der Pol B, Jett-Goheen M, et al; CT/NG Study Group. Performance of the Cepheid CT/NG Xpert rapid PCR test for detection of Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2013;51(6): 1666-1672. [10.1128/JCM.03461-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.03461-12)
21. Van Der Pol B, Taylor SN, Mena L, et al. Evaluation of the performance of a point-of-care test for Chlamydia and Gonorrhea. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020; 3(5):e204819. [10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4819](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.4819)

Thank You, Reviewers and E-Letter Contributors!

Ann Fam Med 2023;21:102. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.2957>

We are ever grateful for and indebted to our community.

We cannot sufficiently thank the many people who provide crucial insights into the manuscripts considered by *Annals of Family Medicine*. Peer reviewers are key to advancing scholarship and contributing to the quality of a research journal. We cannot do it without you. Please see the [full list](#) for the names of our 2022 peer reviewers.

And, as ever, *Annals of Family Medicine* is enriched by those who contribute e-Letters (comments). In 2022, we posted many e-Letters reflecting on a wide range of published articles. Our sincere thanks to those who participated in this stimulating dialog. To read or contribute comments, click on the e-Letters tab from any article or click on “e-Letters” from the “Engage” menu on the [Annals home page](#).

We look forward to working with and serving you all in years to come.