

Submission Id: 6081

Title

People living with chronic pain face multiple difficult decisions leading to high level of decisional conflict

Priority 1 (Research Category)

Pain management

Presenters

Florian NAYE, MSc, Maxime Sasseville, PhD, RN, Chloé Cachinho, Yannick TOUSIGNANT-LAFLAMME, PhD, Thomas GERARD, Simon Décary, PhD, PT

Abstract

Context: Implementing shared decision-making is an international priority in chronic pain care, especially for primary care clinicians. Objective: We sought to understand decisional needs of people living with chronic pain in Canada. Study design and Analysis: Informed by the Checklist for Reporting Of Survey Studies, we conducted a population-based cross-sectional online survey across the 10 Canadian provinces. We reported national prevalence of decisional conflict. We identified difficult decisions in terms of diagnosis, treatment, daily living, and consultation and stratified results using respondents' most difficult decision. We determined assumed and preferred role during the decision-making process as well as their congruence. Setting or Dataset: We gathered data from random samples registered within the Leger Marketing panel (i.e., a panel of 500,000 representative members of Canadian society with Internet access). Population studied: We recruited adults living with chronic noncancer pain across Canadian provinces. Outcome Measures: Based on the Ottawa Decision Support Framework, we collected data on difficult decisions faced when interacting with health care providers, the Decisional Conflict Scale (DCS \geq 25 or DCS \geq 37.5) and the Control Preferences Scale (assumed and preferred role). Results: We recruited 1,649 respondents with diverse socio-demographic profiles. Mean age was 51.8 years (SD=16.3). Half were man (51%), most lived in urban areas (88%), and pain duration ranged from 3 months to 59 years. We observed that 97% of respondents faced at least one difficult decision across their care pathways. We found thirty-six difficult decisions related to medical consultation, diagnosis, treatment, and daily life. Half (49%) of respondents made their most difficult decision with a primary care physician. Nationally, two third (69%) of respondents experienced decisional conflict (DCS score $>$ 25) and one third (34%) clinically significant decisional conflict (DCS $>$ 37.5). Two-thirds (68%) of respondents self-reported having a collaborative role during their decision while 76% wanted this role. Conclusions: People living with chronic pain have unmet decisional needs limiting optimal decisions to

manage their chronic pain. Our findings will guide development of shared decision-making interventions to reduce decisional conflict at a national level, especially to support primary care where most discussions about difficult decisions occur.

Downloaded from the Annals of Family Medicine website at www.AnnFamMed.org. Copyright © 2024 Annals of Family Medicine, Inc. For the private, noncommercial use of one individual user of the Web site. All other rights reserved. Contact copyrights@aafp.org for copyright questions and/or permission requests.