

Online Supplementary Material

Nygren P, Nelson HD, Klein J. Screening children for family violence: a review of the evidence for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Fam Med.* 2004;2:161-169.

http://www.annfammed.org/cgi/content/full/2/2/161/DC1

Appendix 4. Screening Instruments

HAWAII RISK INDICATORS SCREENING TOOL¹

Based on medical record or interview; score true, false, unknown

- 1. Unmarried
- 2. Partner unemployed
- 3. Inadequate income
- 4. Unstable housing
- 5. No phone
- 6. Education less than 12 years
- 7. Inadequate emergency contacts
- 8. History of substance use
- 9. Inadequate prenatal care
- 10. History of abortions
- 11. History of psychiatric care
- 12. Abortion unsuccessfully sought or attempted
- 13. Adoption sought or attempted
- 14. Marital or family problems
- 15. History of depression

Positive screen: 1 true score on item 1, 9, or 12; 2 or more true scores; 7 or more unknowns.

KEMPE FAMILY STRESS INVENTORY (KFI)2,3

Score 1 point for each positive response:

- 1. Parent history of abuse as child (beaten or deprived)
- 2. Parent history of criminal activity, mental illness, or substance abuse history
- 3. Previous or current Child Protective Services involvement
- 4. Parent with isolation, low self-esteem, or depression
- 5. Multiple stresses or crises
- 6. Potential for violent temper outbursts
- 7. Unrealistic, rigid expectations of child's behavior or development
- 8. Harsh punishment of child
- Child perceived by parent to be difficult and/or provocative
- 10. Child unwanted or at risk for poor bonding

For each item score: 0 = no problem, 5 = mild problem, 10 = severe problem

Positive assessment (100 points possible): a total score of 25 or more for either parent.

PARENTING PROFILE ASSESSMENT (PPA)4

Questions directed to mother (score for item):

- 1. Moderate to severe discipline as a child (5)
- 2. Past or present spousal abuse (3)
- 3. Perception of stress (4.5)
- 4. Moderate to severe life change score (4.5)
- 5. High school education or less (3)
- 6. Rare involvements out of home (1.25)
- 7. Little or no prenatal care (2.5)
- 8. Does not feel good about herself (3.5)
- 9. Feels like running away (3)
- 10. Age at first birth less than 20 years (2)
- 11. Unlisted or no phone (1)
- 12. Difficulty communicating with family members (3.5)
- 13. History of unemployment over a 2-month period (of usual provider) (2)
- 14. Currently under- or unemployed (of usual provider) (2)
- 15. Family involvements with police (2)
- 16. Less than \$20,000 a year income (2.5)
- 17. Curses at child(ren) when disciplining (3.5)
- 18. Child(ren) shows evidence of punishment after discipline (3)
- 19. Perceives discipline of children as harsh (3)
- 20. Calls child(ren) names when disciplining (3.5)

For each "yes" answer, add scores for items. Also assess for presence of clustered items (4, 5, 15, 16, 19).

Possible risk: 21 points or more or presence of all items in cluster $\,$

Low risk: less than 21 points and not all items in cluster present

Uncertain risk: unsure of answers to questions

References

- Duggan A, Windham A, McFarlane E, et al. Hawaii's healthy start program of home visiting for at-risk families: evaluation of family identification, family engagement, and service delivery. *Pediatrics*. 2000:105:250-259.
- 2. Korfmacher J. The Kempe Family Stress Inventory: a review. Child Abuse Negl. 2000;24:129-140.
- 3. Murphy S, Orkow B, Nicola RM. Prenatal prediction of child abuse and neglect: a prospective study. Child Abuse Negl. 1985;9:225-235.
- 4. Anderson CL. The parenting profile assessment: screening for child abuse. *Appl Nurs Res.* 1993;6:31-38.