

Online Supplementary Material

Wadland WC, Holtrop J, Weismantel D, Pathak PK, Fadel H, Powell J. Practice-based referral rates to a tobacco cessation quit line: assessing the impact of comparative feedback vs general reminders. *Ann Fam Med*. 2007;5(2):135-142.

<http://www.annfammed.org/cgi/content/full/5/2/135/DC1>

Supplemental Appendix. An Intraclass Correlation Model for a Physician-Based Sampling Scheme

Pramod K. Pathak^{1,2,3}
David Weismantel⁴
William Wadland,⁴ et al

¹Department of Statistics and Probability, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Mich

²Department of Epidemiology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Mich

³Department of Family and Community Medicine, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Mich

⁴Department of Family Practice, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Mich

ABSTRACT

We derive a closed-form formula for intraclass correlation for a sampling scheme based on physician-based research networks. The formula is applied to an illustrative example from our ongoing research on physician-assisted smoking-cessation programs. It provides a means of calculating the design effect of cluster-based sampling schemes compared with simple random sampling.

1. Statement of the Problem

Consider a population of N clusters (sites) of unequal sizes. Suppose that the jth cluster contains M_j units (clinicians), $1 \leq j \leq N$. Suppose that a cluster is first drawn at random from the given population of N clusters. Then a pair of 2 units is drawn one-by-one therefrom by simple random sampling without replacement. Now let y_1 and y_2 denote the Y-variate values associated with the 2 units drawn, the respective number of subjects referred to by the 2 clinicians for smoking cessation program. Let ρ denote the coefficient of correlation between y_1 and y_2 . We refer to ρ as the intraclass (cluster) correlation for the Y-variate values. It measures the intracluster association of Y-variate values.

It can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(y_1) &= \text{Var}(y_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \sum \sigma_j^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum (Y_j - Y_{..})^2 \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where for each j , $1 \leq j \leq N$, Y_j and σ_j^2 respectively denote the mean and the variance of the Y-variate values in the jth cluster, $Y_{..} = N^{-1} \sum Y_j$ being the unweighted average of the cluster means Y_j .

And

$$\text{Cov}(y_1, y_2) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum \frac{1}{(M_j - 1)} \sigma_j^2 + \frac{1}{N} \sum (Y_j - Y_{..})^2 \quad (1.2)$$

Therefore, the intraclass correlation admits the following form:

$$\rho(y_1, y_2) = \frac{-\sum (M_j - 1)^{-1} \sigma_j^2 + \sum (Y_j - Y_{..})^2}{\sum \sigma_j^2 + \sum (Y_j - Y_{..})^2} \quad (1.3)$$

2. Illustrative Example

The data for the smoking cessation consists of $N = 87$ sites and the variable of interest is the total number of referrals by each clinician (Y). Initial analysis of the data resulted in the following statistics:

Mean cluster size: $M = 3.59$

Between cluster variation: $\sum (Y_j - Y_{..})^2 = 14580.13$

Total within cluster variation: $\sum \sigma_j^2 = 1175.82$

Total per unit within cluster variation: $\sum [(\sigma_j^2)/((M_j - 1))] = 367.03$

The intraclass correlation is:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{(-367.03 + 14580.13)}{(1175.82 + 14580.13)} \\ &= .902 \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

And the design effect of the survey for the smoking cessation study is:

Design effect: $1 + (M - 1) \rho = 3.34$

Reference

1. Pathak PK. An introduction to sampling theory and practice. Available at: <http://www.stt.msu.edu/~pathakp/book0-5>. Accessed 13 March 2007.